

Retrospective shift of Buryat verbal forms: evidence from the Barguzin dialect¹

Maria D. Vasilyeva

linellea@yandex.ru

0. Data source

- Barguzin < Ekhirit–Bulagat < Buryat (Mongolic family)
- fieldwork data collected in 2014–2017 in the Baraghan village (Buryatia, RF) within the MSU linguistic expeditions
- particle *hən*

1. Background

- etimological origin

(1) Janhunen 2012; Poppe, 1938

<i>hən</i>	←	<i>a-han</i>
RS		be-PFCT

Typologically, perfective (participle) markers are a plausible source of discontinuous past tense markers. This is characteristic for the Asiatic region as a whole and Altaic languages in particular (Sitchinava 2007).

By a discontinuous past tense marker we understand, following (Plungian, van den Auwera 2006), a marker which, attached to a verbal form, modifies its default time reference. Thus, the target verbal form undergoes a retrospective shift and gains a more “past time” interpretation.

- its Modern Mongolian cognate (Janhunen 2012) +
 - ✓ futurutive participle: past irrealis necessitative
 - ✓ imperfective participle: uncompleted action in the past
 - ✓ perfective participle: plusquamperfectum
 - ✓ habitative participle (*-dAg*): habitual in the past
- Buryat *hən* as reported in Poppe 1938 +
 - ✓ futurutive participle (*-xA*)
 - ✓ imperfective participle (*-A:*)
 - ✓ perfective (*-hAn*)
 - ✓ habitative (*-dAg* and *-g/A*) participles
 - ✓ finite present tense (*-nA*)

Our goals:

- ✓ determine the exhaustive list of finite verbal forms and participles that can be modified by *hən* in the contemporary Barguzin Buryat
- ✓ determine the preliminary semantics of such constructions

¹ The research was funded by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project #17-04-18036e).

2. Barguzin *hən*: morphophonology

hən follows the verbal form, but it is not subjected to its vowel harmony (cf. 2a-d).

- (2) a. *xara-dag* *hən* b. *bəʃə-dəg* *hən*
 see-HAB RS write-HAB RS
- c. *olo-dog* *hən* d. *təər-dəg* *hən*
 find-HAB RS wander-HAB RS

It may undergo optional contraction: if the verb form ends in a short vowel, the latter is deleted while the particle realizes as *-a:n*, sequence that likewise violates the verbal stem's vowel harmony.

- (3) *təər-xə* *hən* → *təər-x=a:n*
 wander-POT RS wander-POT=RS

Like copulas in analytical constructions and some verbal particles, *hən* attracts predicative personal endings:

- (4) *hən*
 a. *b'i* *xara-dag* *hə-m* b. **b'i* *xara-dag-ab* *hən*
 I see-HAB RS-1SGV I see-HAB-1SGV RS
- (5) analytical construction
 a. *b'i* *xara-dag* *bai-na-m* b. **b'i* *xara-dag-ab* *bai-na*
 I see-HAB be-PRS-1SGV I see-HAB-1SGV be-PRS
- (6) type I particles
 a. *b'i* *xara-dag* *jum-b'i* b. **b'i* *xara-dag-ab* *jum*
 I see-HAB PTCL-1SGV I see-HAB-1SGV PTCL
- (7) type II particles
 a. **b'i* *xara-dag* *da:-b* b. *b'i* *xara-dag-ab* *da:*
 I see-HAB PTCL-1SGV I see-HAB-1SGV PTCL

As for negation, evidence is controversial: while some informants allow the negation both on the verbal form or after *hən*, others never negate the particle, although copula in normal periphrastic constructions with verbal participles can be negated (cf. 8 and 9).

- (8) a. *xara-xa-gwi* *hən* b. *??xara-xa* *hən-gwi*
 see-POT-NEG RS see-POT RS-NEG
- (9) a. *xara-xa-gwi* *bai-na* b. *xara-xa* *bai-na-gwi*
 see-POT-NEG be-PRS see-POT be-PRS-NEG

Importantly, neither the perfective participle, nor proper verbal particles can bear negation:

- (10) **bai-han-gwi*
 see-PFCT-NEG

- (11) type I particles
- | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|------------|----|-----------------|----------------|
| a. | <i>bai-dag-gui</i> | <i>jum</i> | b. | <i>*bai-dag</i> | <i>jum-gui</i> |
| | be-HAB-NEG | PTCL | | be-HAB-NEG | PTCL-NEG |

- (12) type II particles
- | | | | | | |
|----|----------------|------------|----|-----------------|----------------|
| a. | <i>bai-dag</i> | <i>da:</i> | b. | <i>*bai-dag</i> | <i>da:-gui</i> |
| | be-HAB-NEG | PTCL | | be-HAB-NEG | PTCL-NEG |

Participles modified by *hən* can not introduce relative clauses (cf. to analytical construction where the copula bears the marker of the perfective participle)

- (13) a. *unfa-dag basagan*
 read-HAB girl
 ‘a girl who often reads (sth)’
- b. **unfa-dag hən basagan*
 read-HAB RS girl
 Int. ‘a girl who used to read (sth)’
- c. *unfa-dag bai-han basagan*
 read-HAB be -PFCT girl
 ‘a girl who used to read (sth)’

All this might be viewed as an evidence of *hən*’s (in)complete grammaticalization process (drift from the copula status to that of a participle).

3. Barguzin *hən*: combinatorial properties

Table 1. List of possible contexts

		Barguzin Buryat	Buryat (Poppe 1938)	Mongolian (Janhunen 2012)
<i>nonverbal predication</i>		+		
<i>participles:</i>				
habitative	<i>-dAg</i> HAB	+	+	+
	<i>g-ʃA</i> PERM-INTS	+		
	<i>a:-ʃa</i> PRT1-INTS	+		
potential	<i>-x-A:r</i> POT-INST	+	+	
un-V-able	<i>-ʃA-gʃi</i> INTS-NEG	+		
futurative	<i>-xA</i> POT	+	+	+
perfective	<i>-hAn</i> PFCT	*	+	+
subject resultative	<i>-nxAi</i> RES	*		
object resultative	<i>-A-tAi</i> PRT1-COM	*		
<i>finite verbal forms:</i>				
presence	<i>-nA</i> PRS	+	+	
past 1	<i>-A:</i> PRT1	+	+	+
past 2	<i>-bA</i> PRT2	*		
non-indicatives	<i>-hAi</i> OPT, etc.	*		
<i>modal constructions</i>				
deontic modality	<i>-xA joho-toi</i> POT habit-COM	+		
epistemic modality	<i>-A: joho-toi</i> PRT1 habit-COM	*		

Only those synchronically finite forms that were participles or verbal nouns are able to combine with *hən*.

- (14) a. *Aldar nom unfa-ba*
Aldar book read-PRT2
'Aldar read (a) book.'
- b. **Aldar nom unfa-ba hən*
Aldar book read-PRT2 RS
- (15) a. *Aldar nom unfa-hai*
Aldar book read-OPT
'If only Aldar could read (a) book.'
- b. **Aldar nom unfa-hai hən*
Aldar book read-OPT RS

Resultatives refer to an action performed in the past, but whose result is still present, and the discontinuous past implies a detachment from the default reference point of the verbal form (Plungian and van den Auwera 2006) ⇒ a conflict arises when these markers co-occur?

- (16) subject resultative participle
- a. *Ojuna nom unfa-nxai*
Ojuna book read-RES
'Ojuna has read (the) book (i.e. she is in the resultant state of having read the book).'

- b. **Ojuna nom unfa-nxai hən*
Ojuna book read-RES RS

- (17) object resultative participle
- a. *nom unfa-a:-tai*
book read-PRT1-COM
'The book has been read.'

- b. **nom unfa-a:-tai hən*
book read-PRT1-COM RS

- (18) perfective participle
- a. *Ojuna nom unfa-han*
Ojuna book read-PFCT
'Ojuna has read (the) book (in her lifetime).'

- b. **Ojuna nom unfa-han hən*
Ojuna book read-PFCT RS

OR an inherited restriction on two markers of the perfective participle per one construction?

- (19) a. *Ojuna nom unfa-han* **bai-han* / **bai-nxai*
Ojuna book read-PFCT be-PFCT be-RES
- b. *Ojuna nom unfa-nxai* **bai-han* / **bai-nxai*
Ojuna book read-RES be-PFCT be-RES

- c. *nom unf-a:-tai *bai-han / *bai-nxai*
 book read-PRT1-COM be-PFCT be-RES

3. Barguzin *hən* as a discontinuous past marker

- non-verbal predication + *hən*

- (20) a. *təɾə ʉndəɾ*
 that high
 ‘He is high.’
- b. *təɾə ʉndəɾ hən*
 that high RS
 ‘He was high.’

hən implies that either Aldar is dead or possesses this quality no more.

- (21) *ʉsəgəldəɾ bʲi Aldar-tai tanʲi-ls-a:-b*
 yesterday I Aldar-COM get.acquainted-SOC-PRT1-1SGV
 ‘Yesterday, I got acquainted with Aldar.’
- a. *təɾə ʉndəɾ bai-ga:*
 that high be-PRT1
 ‘He was high.’
- b. *#təɾə ʉndəɾ hən*
 that high RS
 ‘He was high.’

- habitative participles (-*dAg*, -*g-fA*, -*A :-fA*), potential participle (-*x-A:r*) and the *fa-gʉi* form + *hən*

Remote past or a discontinuous habit / lost property.

- (22) a. *bagfa man-da xəʃəl-əi hʉ:l-əɾ honʲin nom*
 teacherwe-DAT lesson-CNT1 end-INST new book

ʉnfə-dəg hən
 read-HAB RS
 ‘(The) teacher used to read us new books (e.g. an utterance of a schoolchild on vacation).’
- b. *bʲi bəgə bai-xa-d-a : oi so:-guur jaba-dag*
 I little be-POT-DAT-REFL forest in-LOC go-HAB

bai-ga:-b təndə xodo mogoi xara-dag hə-m
 be-PRT1-1SGV there often snake see-HAB RS-1SGV
 ‘When I was a child, I often saw snakes in the forest.’

- past 1 (-*A:*) + *hən*

Starting a narrative (used in folk tales, legends or stylizations of such).

- (23) *mʌnθə nɑzɑr* *man-ai* *xurumxa:n* *nʷutag-ta-mnai*
 now summer we-CNT2 Kurumkan region-DAT-1PLn
- Barga-Ba:tar-nu:d-ai* *bargad-u:d-ai* *festivalʷ* *bol-o:* *hən.*
 Barga-Baatar-PL-CNT1 bargut-PL-CNT1n festival become-PRT1 RS
 ‘This summer, there was held a bargut festival Barga-Baatar.’
- tiig-9:-d* *t9r9* *bargad-u:d* *i:m9* *tʰ:x9-t9i*
 do.so-PRT1-CONV2 that bargut- PL such history-COM
 ‘And these barguts have the following history.’
- urain xa-d-a:* *olon* *tʰm9n* *ʒ9l* *t9nd9* *Barga-Ba:tar g9-ʒ9*
 before POT-DAT-REFL many thousand year there Barga-Baatar say-CONV1
- j9x9* *xʌn* *bai-dag* *bai-ga:* *hən*
 big man be-HAB be-PRT1 RS
 ‘Long ago, many thousands of years ago, there lived a great man Barga-Baatar.’

Some native speakers allow its usage as a plusquamperfect in complex sentences (cf. interpretations 1 and 2):

- (24) *aldar-ai* *g9r-t-9:* *j9r9-x9-d9-nʷ* *svʷ9t* *ah-a:* *hən*
 Aldar-CNT1 house-DAT-REFL come-POT-DAT-3 light ignite-PRT1 RS
 1. %‘When Aldar came home, the light was (already) on.’
 2. ‘(Long ago,) when Aldar came home, the light went on.’

- modal constructions with *joho-toi* ‘habit-COM’

(25) deontic *joho-toi*

- a. *Aldar unta-xa* *joho-toi*
 Aldar sleep-POT habit-COM
 ‘Aldar must sleep.’

- b. *Aldar unta-xa* *joho-toi* *hən*
 Aldar sleep-POT habit-COM RS
 ‘Aldar had to sleep.’

(26) epistemic *joho-toi*

- a. *Aldar unt-a:* *joho-toi*
 Aldar sleep-PRT1 habit-COM
 ‘Aldar is probably sleeping / Aldar has probably slept.’

- b. **Aldar unt-a:* *joho-toi* *hən*
 Aldar sleep-PRT1 habit-COM RS
 Int. ‘Aldar was probably sleeping / Aldar probably slept.’

4. Futuritive participle *-xa + hən*

The preferred means to express a wish (note that there is a distinct optative marker in Buryat).

- (27) a. *aldar hu:-hai* *gə-ʒə* *hana-na-m*
 Aldar sit-OPT say-CONV1 think-PRS-1SGv
- b. *aldar hu:-x=a:n* *gə-ʒə* *hana-na-m*
 Aldar sit-POT-RS say-CONV1 think-PRS-1SGv
 ‘I want Aldar to sit down.’
- (28) a. *aldar hu:-hai*
 Aldar sit-OPT
- b. *aldar hu:-x=a:n*
 Aldar sit-POT-RS
 ‘If only Aldar could sit down.’

Apodosis in conditional sentences.

- (29) a. *mʉngə:* *ab-a:n* *bai-ga:-d-a:* *xʉbʉ:n* *basagan-d-a:*
 money get-PFCT.D be-PRT1-DAT-REFL boy girl-DAT-REFL
- bəlg* *aba-xa* *hən*
 present get-POT RS
1. ‘If the boy had got the money, he would have bought a present for his girlfriend.’
 2. ‘If the boy had got the money, if only he could buy a present for his girlfriend.’
- b. *mʉngə:* *ab-a:n* *bai-ga:-d-a:* *xʉbʉ:n* *basagan-d-a:*
 money get-PFCT.D be-PRT1-DAT-REFL boy girl-DAT-REFL
- bəlg* *aba-xa* *bai-ga:*
 present get-POT be-PRT1
1. ‘If the boy had got the money, he would have bought a present for his girlfriend.’
 *2. ‘If the boy had got the money, if only he could buy a present for his girlfriend.’
- c. *mʉngə:* *ab-a:n* *bai-ga:-d-a:* *xʉbʉ:n* *basagan-d-a:*
 money get-PFCT.D be-PRT1-DAT-REFL boy girl-DAT-REFL
- bəlg* *aba-hai*
 present get-OPT
- *1. ‘If the boy had got the money, he would have bought a present for his girlfriend.’
 2. ‘If the boy had got the money, if only he could buy a present for his girlfriend.’

- non-indicative negation puzzle

- (30) a. **Aldar bʉ hu:-xa*
 Aldar NEG sit-POT
 Int. ‘Aldar will not sit down.’

- b. *Aldar bʉ hu:-x=a:n*
 Aldar NEG sit-POT=RS
 ‘If only Aldar did not sit down.’
- c. *Aldar bʉ hu:-hai*
 Aldar NEG sit-OPT
 ‘If only Aldar did not sit down.’
- (31) a. *boro:n or-oo ha:, Aldar bʉ bajar-la-xa hən*
 rain come-PRT1 COND Aldar NEG joy-VRB-POT RS
- b. *boro:n or-oo ha:, Aldar bʉ bajar-la-hai*
 rain come-PRT1 COND Aldar NEG joy-VRB-OPT
 1. ‘If it rained, Aldar would not be happy.’
 2. ‘If it rained, if only Aldar was not happy.’

Note that conditional *per se* is not sufficient to license the non-indicative negation.

- (32) a. **boro:n or-o: ha: Aldar bʉ bajar-la-xa bai-ga:*
 rain come-PRT1 COND Aldar NEG joy-VRB-POT be-PRT1
- b. **boro:n or-o: ha: Aldar bajar-la-xa bʉ bai-ga:*
 rain come-PRT1 COND Aldar joy-VRB-POT NEG be-PRT1
 Int. ‘If it rained, Aldar would not be happy.’

4. Present-in-the-past or when evidentiality steps in

The *-nA hən* construction as a direct evidential.

- (33) a. *ojuna nom unša-na hən*
 Ojuna book read-PRS RS
 ‘(I saw,) Ojuna read the book.’
- b. *ojuna nom unša-na hən, #xarʲin tərən-i:-je-nʲ xar-a:-gʉi-b*
 Ojuna book read-PRS RS but that-CNT2-ACC-3 see-PRT1-1SGV
 ‘(I saw,) Ojuna read the book, #but I didn’t see it (her reading the book).’

It extends to visible results, if the verb describes something that cannot be seen, i.e. feelings or cognitive processes.

- (34) *aldar ɔ:r-i:-ŋ-gø: basagan tuxai-ga: fəbʲə-nə hən*
 Aldar self-CNT2-GEN-REFL girl about-REFL think-PRS RS
 ‘Aldar thought about his girlfriend (e.g. the speaker saw him buying her a present).’

- first person effect (see, Aikhenvald 2004)

This construction is rarely used with 1 person subjects. In such cases, the speaker is reporting actions that he has seen himself do:

- (35) *ʲbi nom unša-na hə-m*
 I book read-PRS RS-1SGV
 ‘(I saw myself), I read a book (e.g. in a dream, on a video...).’

- shift of the information source in questions and in dependent clauses (see, e.g. Aikhenvald 2004)

A question with this verbal form implies that the addressee must have witnessed what he is being asked about.

- (36) *b'i nai-man sag-ta unta-n-a:-m-pai?*
 I eight hour-DAT sleep-PRS-RS-Q-1SGV
 'Did I fall asleep at eight o'clock? (The speaker presumes that the addressee was looking at the clock when the speaker fell asleep and can be summoned as a witness).'

In embedded sentences, source of evidence may be shifted.

- (37) a. *Badma Aldar-i:-je fʉlʉ ʒdʲi-nʉ hʉn gʉ-ʒʉ*
 Badma Aldar-CNT2-ACC soup.ACC есть-PRS RS say-CONV1

mʉdʉ-nʉ
 know-PRS
 'Badma knows that Aldar has eaten the soup.'
source of evidence: Badma, 'speaker

- b. *ʲBadma Aldar-i:-je fʉlʉ ʒdʲi-nʉ hʉn*
 Badma Aldar-CNT2-ACC soup.ACC есть-PRS RS

gʉ-ʒʉ mʉdʉ-nʉ-gʉi
 say-CONV1 know-PRS-NEG
 'Badma doesn't know that Aldar has eaten the soup.'
source of evidence: *Badma, speaker

- **where does the direct evidentiality come from?**

⇒ not from *hʉn*: perfective participle markers usually give rise to non-firsthand evidentials (Aikhenvald, 2004), and actually, there is no direct evidentiality with other verbal forms

- (38) a. *ok Badma nom unf-a: hʉn gʉ-ʒʉ xar-a:-gʉi-b*
 Badma book read-PRT1 RS say-CONV1 see-PRT1-NEG-1SGV
 'I did not see how Badma read the book.'

- b. **Badma nom unf-a-na hʉn gʉ-ʒʉ xar-a:-gʉi-b*
 Badma book read-PRS RS say-CONV1 see-PRT1-NEG-1SGV

⇒ direct evidentiality comes from the presence, *hʉn* shifts the time the event was witnessed

Argument 1: individual level stative verbs

- (39) a. *Aldar burʲad xʉlʉ mʉdʉ-xʉ*
 Aldar Buryat language know-POT
 'Aldar knows the Buryat language (lit. he will know Buryat).'

- b. *Aldar bur'ad xələ mədə-dəg*
 Aldar Buryat language know-HAB
 'Aldar knows the Buryat language, i.e. he often demonstrates his knowledge.'
- c. *Aldar bur'ad xələ mədə-nə*
 Aldar Buryat language know-PRS
 'Aldar knows the Buryat language, i.e. he is demonstrating his knowledge **NOW**.'

Argument 2: non-verbal predication

- (40) a. *Aldar nam-ha: ʉndə*
 Aldar I-ABL high
 'Aldar is higher than me (general knowledge).'
- b. *Aldar nam-ha: ʉndə bai-na*
 Aldar I-ABL high be-PRS
 'Aldar is higher than me (we have just compared Aldar's height and my height).'
- (41) a. *gər jəxə*
 house big
 'The house is big (general knowledge).'
- b. *gər jəxə bai-na*
 house big be-PRS
 'The house is big (description of the house that is in front of us or just acquired knowledge).'

Argument 3: participle + copula constructions as an inferential

- (42) a. *b'i kl'ʉtf-nʉnd-ə: marta-dag-ab*
 I key-PL-ACC.REFL forget-HAB-1SGV
 'I often lose keys.'
- b. *b'i kl'ʉtf-nʉnd-ə: marta-dag bai-na-b*
 I key-PL-ACC.REFL forget-HAB be-PRS-1SGV
 '(It has turned out that) I often lose keys.'

In (30b), the speaker had no personal awareness of the event until after it occurred.

- still, if it is only a shift of the time when the event was witnessed, why an aspectuality change?

- (43) a. *Dugar taban sag zurag zura-na*
 Dugar five hour drawing draw-PRS
 'Dugar is drawing for five hours.'
- b. *??Dugar taban sag zurag zura-na hən*
 Dugar five hour drawing draw-PRS RS
 Int. '(I saw,) Dugar was drawing for five hours.'

5. Conclusions

- *hsn* looks like a discontinuous past tense marker, but in case of some verbal forms, its behaviour demonstrates that there is much more than just a retrospective shift going on

Abbreviations

1, 3 first, third person, **ABL** ablative, **ACC** accusative, **CNT** connective, **COM** comitative, **COND** conditional, **CONV** converb, **DAT** dative, **HAB** habitative participle, **INST** instrumental, **LOC** locative, **NEG** negation, **OPT** optative, **PFCT** perfective participle, **POT** futuritive participle, **PL** plural, **PRS** presence, **PRT** preterite, **PTCL** particle, **Q** question, **REFL** reflexive possessive suffix, **RES** resultative, **RS** retrospective shift, **SG** singular

References

- Aikhenval'd 2004 — Aikhenval'd A. IU. Evidentiality. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Plungian, van der Auwera 2006 — Plungian V., van der Auwera J. Towards a typology of discontinuous past marking // *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung*. 2006. Vol. 59. No. 4. P. 317 – 349.
- Poppe 1938— Poppe N. *Grammatika buryat-mongol'skogo yazyka* [in Russian, 'Grammar of the Buryat-Mongol language']. Moscow and Leningrad, USSR Academy of Science, 1938.